Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

| Bill Number: 1472 2S HB | Title: Chemical action plans | | | | Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|------------|--------------------|
| Part I: Estimates No Fiscal Impact Estimated Cash Receipts to: | | | | | | | |
| Account | | FY 2016 | FY 2017 | 2015-17 | , | 2017-19 | 2019-21 |
| Counties | | 112010 | 112011 | 2010 11 | | 2011 10 | 2010 21 |
| Cities | | | | | | | |
| | Total \$ | | | | | | |
| Estimated Expenditures from: | | | | | | | |
| N | Non-zero but inc | leterminate cost | . Please see d | iscussion. | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| The revenue and expenditure estima subject to the provisions of RCW 43 | | epresent the most li | kely fiscal impa | act. Responsibility | for expenditi | ures may l | be |
| Check applicable boxes and follo | | | | | | • | |
| If fiscal impact is greater that form Parts I-V. | n \$50,000 per fis | scal year in the cu | irrent bienniun | n or in subsequen | it biennia, co | omplete o | entire fiscal note |
| X If fiscal impact is less than \$ | 50 000 per fiscal | I vear in the curre | nt hiennium o | r in subsequent b | iennia com | nlete this | nage only (Part I) |
| Capital budget impact, comp | _ | - , sur iii die edile | 0.4 | sacsequent o | , voiii | r | r (1 m. 1). |
| Legislative Contact Dan Jones | | | | Phone: 360-786 | 5-7118 | Date: | 03/04/2015 |
| Agency Preparation: Renee Lew | ris | | | Phone: 360-704 | 1-4142 | Date: | 03/05/2015 |
| | | | | | | - | |

Request # 2SHB 1472-1

Date: 03/05/2015

Date: 03/05/2015

Phone: 360-357-2406

Phone: 360-902-0563

Ramsey Radwan

Cheri Keller

Agency Approval:

OFM Review:

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The second substitute bill adds a section to RCW 70___ (the new chapter created in section 17 of this act). The new section (now section 16) would make the act null and void if specific funding for the purposes of this act is not provided by June 30, 2015. This does not change the judicial impact that was prepared for the original bill and the first substitute.

The substitute bill clarifies the requirements for the chemical action plans to require safer chemicals in Washington and does not change the judicial impact that was prepared for the original bill.

There may be judicial impacts resulting from the bill for hearing appeals from the pollution control hearings board, beginning in FY 2019.

A new chapter would be added to RCW 70 creating a new section; prescribing penalties; providing an effective date; and providing an expiration date.

A new section would be added to RCW 39.26 that would establish purchasing and procurement policies that provide a preference for products and products in packaging that do not contain priority Washington chemicals.

RCW 43.21B.110 would be amended to add that decisions regarding a restriction, order, or penalty issued under RCW 70.___ (the new chapter created in section 16 of this act) would be heard by the pollution control hearings board. Appeals from the hearings board would be heard in superior court.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

none

II. C - Expenditures

Depending on the complexity of issues raised on appeal, there may be moderate court impacts (\$25,000 to \$100,000) for trials for appeals in Section 9 of the bill.

The Washington State Department of Ecology is estimating that there would be one appeal per year from the process in Section 9 of the bill and one appeal per biennium for fine penalties in Section 10 of the bill.

The department does not expect any appeals prior to FY 2019.

Issues subject to appeal are expected to be complex, highly technical, and require the use of expert witnesses. (Washington DOE). A trial of this nature could take two or more weeks of bench time depending on the complexity of the case. Two weeks of judicial time with supporting staff leads to an expenditure estimate of \$48,000. Each additional week adds to the cost.

Appeals of fines are likely to take less than a day of court time.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact